

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/896,509	06/28/2001	Hiroaki Shizuya	CIT1390-1	8122
7:	590 11/13/2003		EXAM	INER
Lisa A. Haile, J.D., Ph.D			MARVICH, MARIA	
GRAY CARY WARE & FREIDENRICH LLP 4365 Executive Dr.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Suite 1100			1636	
San Diego, CA	92121-2133			

DATE MAILED: 11/13/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		09/896,509	SHIZUYA, HIROAKI
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Maria B Marvich, PhD	1636
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Renly	ars n th cov r sh et with th	e correspondenc address
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any I	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we use to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 C	October 2003 .	
2a) <u></u>		s action is non-final.	
3) <u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under <i>b</i> ion of Claims		
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-55 is/are pending in the application.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.	
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-55</u> is/are rejected.		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	
Applicati	ion Papers		
•	The specification is objected to by the Examiner		
10)[_]	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept	·— ·	
44.	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	, ,. , ,.	proved by the Examiner.
12)[7]	If approved, corrected drawings are required in repi The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa		
	•	arriirier.	
_	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	main aite con don OF LLOO 6 440	(a) (d) - (0
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).
a)[All b) Some * c) None of:	. November 2 and a street	
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents		-ti-u Ni-
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		
* S	3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Burd See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	·	
) ☐ The translation of the foreign language prov Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestion	• •	
Attachment	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	. ,	
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/896,509

Art Unit: 1636

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is in response to an after-final amendment filed 10/6/03. **The** amendment has been entered. Claims 1-55 are pending. Upon further review of the instant claims and specification it is apparent that the application is not in condition for allowance. Therefore, prosecution is reopened. As new grounds of rejection are presented in this action that are not necessitated by applicant's amendment of the claims, this action is **not** final.

Abstract

The abstract is objected to as it exceeds 150 words.

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/896,509

Art Unit: 1636

Claims 1-55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1-55 are vague and indefinite in that the metes and bounds of the phrase, "genome is known" are unclear. It is unclear what about the genome is "known", the entire nucleotide sequence, a restriction map of the genome, or simply an awareness of a part of genetic organization of the genome.

Claims 1-13 and 17-55 are vague and indefinite in that the metes and bounds of DNA that is "homologous" to a known segment of chromosomal DNA are unknown. "Homologous" is a relative term which is not defined by the claim or explicitly defined in the specification. Therefore, the segment of DNA that is "homologous" to a known segment of chromosomal or pathogenic bacterial DNA is unknown.

Claims 2, 25 and 43 are vague and indefinite in that the metes and bounds of an essential gene that is "obtained" by homology or by sequence comparison are unknown. It is unclear how a gene is obtained (or come into possession of) by "homology" comparison.

Claims 2, 14-16 and 25 are vague and indefinite in that the metes and bounds of "a gene in the known segment of DNA" are unknown. Two known segments of DNA, that of a known segment of chromosomal DNA and a known segment of DNA of the haploid test organisms or a pathogenic bacterium are recited and therefore it is unclear which of these are obtained or identified.

Claim 24 recites the limitation "insertion into the host cell" in claim 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Application/Control Number: 09/896,509 Page 4

Art Unit: 1636

Claim 25 is vague and indefinite in that the metes and bounds of a genome of the pathogenic bacterium "selects" compounds with putative antibiotic activity are unknown. It is unclear how a genome can "select" a compound.

Claim 25 is vague and indefinite in that the metes and bounds of the essential gene in the known segment of DNA of the pathogenic bacterium are unknown. It is unclear how the gene is identified by sequence comparison, as there is no indication that the two genes are related.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Maria B Marvich, PhD whose telephone number is (703) 605-1207. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30-3:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Remy Yucel, PhD can be reached on (703) 305-1998. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

GERRY LEFFERS Maria B Marvich, PhD

RIMARY EXAMINER Examiner

Art Unit 1636